# First trimester screening for congenital defects

### Katia Bilardo

University Medical Center Groningen, The Netherlands



*Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2013; 41: 102–113 Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/uog.12342





# ISUOG Practice Guidelines: performance of first-trimester fetal ultrasound scan

### When should a 1<sup>st</sup> trimester fetal US scan be performed?

- •Not simply to confirm an ongoing early pregnancy in the absence of any specific indications.
- •Ideally between 11 and 13+6 weeks' gestation to:
  - Confirm viability
  - Establish gestational age accurately
  - Determine the number of viable fetuses
  - Evaluate fetal gross anatomy and (if requested)
  - Risk of aneuploidy4-20.

Before the examination, a healthcare provider should Inform the woman/couple regarding the potential benefits and limitations of the first-trimester ultrasound scan.



# First trimester US :

- 1. Dating of Pregnancy
- 2. Survey of fetal anatomy
- 3. Screening for Aneuploidies
- 4. Screening for Structural anomalies
- 5. Screening for Pre-eclampsia
- 6. and a lot more ...... (premature labour, macrosomia etc.)
  - = Early pregnancy global risk assessment



### Accurate dating of pregnancy by a "good " CRL measurement

Good magnification The fetus fills almost the entire screen.

Mid-sagittal section The profile, spine and rump are visible.

Neutral position There is fluid visible between the chin and the chest of the fetus

Fetus is horizontal Almost 90° to the ultrasound beam

Crown and rump are clearly seen

Callipers are placed correctly The intersection of the callipers should be placed on the outer borders of the skin over the head and rump.



#### Optimal dating by CRL between 10 and 12+6 wks (CRL 33- 68 mm)



(8.052\*.(CRL\*1.037)+23.73):702-10.11

Robinson HP, Fleming JEE. A critical evaluation of sonar crown-rump length measurements. Br JObstet Gynaecol 1975;82

The LMP is taken into account, but the due date is calculated based on US measurements, even when there is 1 day difference. Two recent UK reviews\* indicate the corrected CRL curve of Robinson en Fleming as the best one. \*Bottomley C, Bourne T. Dating and growth in the first trimester. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol

\*Bottomley C, Bourne T. Dating and growth in the first trimester. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2009

\*Loughna P, Chitty L, Evans T, Chudleigh T. Fetal size and dating: charts recommended for clinical obstetric practice. Ultrasound 2009

Beyond a CRL of 64 mm BPD and HC become more accurate They should be used for pregnancy dating



### 1<sup>st</sup> trimester screening for congenital anomalies

#### (Syngelaki et al. 2011)

|                               |       | L             |               | Fetal anomalies |             |             |                         |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Author                        | Total | Scan<br>route | GA<br>(weeks) | Total           | СуНу        | Aneuploidy  | Detected                |
| Hernadi and Torocsic, 1997    | 3991  | TA, TV        | 11–14         | 49 (1.2%)       | 7 (14.3%)   | 4 (8.2%)    | 20 (40.8%)              |
| D'Ottavio et al., 1998        | 4078  | TV            | 13–15         | 88 (2.2%)       | 30 (34.1%)  | 19 (21.6%)  | 54 (61.4%)              |
| Bilardo et al., 1998          | 1690  | TA, TV        | 10–14         | 23 (1.4%)       | 3 (13.0%)   | —           | 10 (43 5%)              |
| Hafner et al., 1998           | 4233  | TA            | 10–13         | 56 (1.3%)       | —           | —           | 7(12.5%)                |
| Whitlow et al., 1999a         | 6443  | TA, TV        | 11–14         | 63 (1.0%)       | 14 (22.2%)  | 14 (22.2%)  | 37 (58.7%)              |
| Guariglia and Rosati, 2000    | 3478  | TV            | 10–16b        | 57 (1.6%)       | 15 (26.3%)  | 8 (14.0%)   | 33 (57.9%)              |
| Taipale et al., 2004          | 4789  | TV            | 10–16c        | 33 (0.7%)       | —           | 4 (12.1%)   | 6 (18.2%)               |
| Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2004     | 1609  | TA, TV        | 12–14         | 26 (1.6%)       | 1 (3.8%)    | 11 (42.3%)  | 14 (53 8%)              |
| Becker and Wegner, 2006       | 3094  | TA, TV        | 11–13         | 86 (2.8%)       | —           | 56 (65.1%)  | 72 (83.7%)              |
| Cedergren and Selbing, 2006   | 2708  | TA            | 11–14d        | 32 (1.2%)       | 3 (9.4%)    | 1 (3.1%)    | 13 (4 <del>0.6%</del> ) |
| Saltvedt <i>et al.</i> , 2006 | 18053 | TA            | 11–14         | 371 (2.1%)      | Not stated  | —           | 74 (19.9%)              |
| Dane et al., 2007             | 1290  | TA            | 11–14         | 24 (1.9%)       | 3 (12.5%)   | 5 (20.8%)   | 17 (70.8%)              |
| Chen <i>et al</i> ., 2008     | 7642  | TA            | 10–14         | 127 (1.7%)      | 30 (23.6%)  | 32 (25.2%)  | 51 (40.2%)              |
| Oztekin <i>et al</i> ., 2009  | 1805  | TA            | 11–14         | 21 (1.2%)       | 3 (14.3%)   | —           | 14 (66.7%)              |
| Ebrashy <i>et al</i> ., 2010  | 2876  | TA, TV        | 13–14         | 31 (1.1%)       | 7 (22.6%)   | —           | 21 (67 7%)              |
| Total                         | 67779 | _             | 10–16         | 1087 (1.6%)     | 116 (10.7%) | 154 (14.2%) | 441 (40.8%)             |

| Fetal     | abnormality                | NT>P95th   | <b>11 – 13w</b> ks | Syngelaki et al 2011   |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| Neural tu | ube                        |            |                    |  |
|           | Acrania/iniencephaly       | 27%        | 100%               |  |
|           | Open spina bifida          | 5%         | 14%                |  |
| Brain     |                            |            |                    |  |
|           | Alobar holopros.           |            | 100%               |  |
| Face      |                            |            |                    |  |
|           | <u>Facial cleft</u>        | 15%        | 5%                 |  |
| Lungs     |                            |            |                    | and the second second  |
|           | Diaphragmatic hernia       | 37%        | <b>50%</b>         | a  |
|           | Exomphalos only bowel      | 18%        | 100%               |  |
|           | + liver                    | <b>10%</b> | 100%               |  |
|           | Gastroschisis              |            | 11%                |  |
| Renal     |                            |            |                    |  |
|           | Bladder length<16 mm       | <b>19%</b> | 100%               |  |
|           | Bladder length>16 mm       | <b>50%</b> | 100%               |  |
|           | Renal agenesis unilateral  | 17%        |                    | 26.45  |
|           | Inf. Pol kidneys           |            | 33%                |  |
| Skeleton  |                            |            |                    |  |
|           | Lethal skeletal dysplasia  | <b>50%</b> | 50%                |  |
|           | Talipes unilateral         | 4%         |                    | and a start  |
|           | Talipes bilateral          | . 25%      |                    | 5 × 2-   |
|           | Short long bone unilateral |            | 50%                |  |
|           | Absent hand/or foot        |            | 78%                | and the second s |
| 0.1       | Polydactyly                |            | 60%                | Seller The   |
| Other     |                            | 10001      |                    |  |
|           | Boay stalk anomaly         | 100%       | 100%               |  |



# Mid-sagittal section







#### Diaphragm

Bladder:

10 wks visible in 50%
11 wks visible in 80%
12 wks visible in 90%
13 wks visible in all



## Gender: is the angle that counts!



 Midline sagittal plane
 Tubercle/spine angle
 From 13th week
 (32mm DBP, 100% accuracy) (Effrat, Mazza)

□ Angle > 27° = XY (Youssef et al. 2011)

## Megacystis





35 of 57,119 singleton pregnancies Kagan et al 2010

# **Transverse Section**



#### <u>Skull</u>

#### Midline

Ventricles / choroid plexus



#### Can be visualized from 8-9wks

#### Always from 12-13 wks





### calcification completed by 11 weeks



## Eyes, Lips, palate



# Retronasal triangle: a sonographic landmark for the screening of cleft palate in the first trimester

W. SEPULVEDA\*†, A. E. WONG\*, P. MARTINEZ-TEN‡ and J. PEREZ-PEDREGOSA‡

### Normal fetus





### Fetus with cleft palate holoprosencephaly

## First-trimester diagnosis of cleft lip and palate using three-dimensional ultrasound

P. MARTINEZ-TEN\*, B. ADIEGO\*, T. ILLESCAS\*, C. BERMEJO†, A. E. WONG‡ and W. SEPULVEDA‡



Normal fetus



Cleft lip and palate

## Mandibular gap and retro-micrognathia

Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2012; 39: 152–156 Published online 9 January 2012 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/uog.10121

#### Absent mandibular gap in the retronasal triangle view: a clue to the diagnosis of micrognathia in the first trimester

W. SEPULVEDA\*, A. E. WONG\*, F. VIÑALS†, E. ANDREEVA‡, N. ADZEHOVA‡ and P. MARTINEZ-TEN§



### Holoprosencephaly





## Encephalocele



## Early detection of fetal defects: Spina Bifida

| Spina bifida | DR  |  |
|--------------|-----|--|
| History      | 5%  |  |
| MS AFP       | 75% |  |
| Ultrasound   | 98% |  |







# Assessment of intracranial translucency (IT) in the detection of spina bifida at the 11-13-week scan

R. CHAOUI\*, B. BENOIT†, H. MITKOWSKA-WOZNIAK‡, K. S. HELING\* and K. H. NICOLAIDES§





## The brain @ 11-14 weeks scan



## Open SB at 13 weeks



Figure 2 Case 1. (a) In the mid-sagittal view of the fetal face, obtained by transabdominal ultrasound, no typical intracranial translucency with clear borders is identifiable. The brainstem is thickened and the distance from the posterior border of the brainstem to the occipital bone is shorter than the brainstem diameter. (b) Subsequent examination of the spine, performed transvaginally, revealed a small lumbosacral spina bifida 5 mm in diameter (calipers) and, once the fetus had turned (c), the small myelomeningocele could be visualized in a coronal tangential plane.

#### R. Chaoui UOG 2011

# Could additional measurements help in increasing the accuracy of detecting open NTD @ the 11-14 w scan ?



#### Ratio of Brainstem/ Posterior Fossa



Figure 4—Individual measurements of the ratio between the brain stem diameter and BSOB diameter in fetuses with OSB (open circles) and normal controls (closed circles) plotted on the reference range for CRL (median, 5th and 95th percentiles)

R. Lachmann, R. Chaoui, J.Moratalla, G.Picciarelli, K.H. Nicolaides, Prenatal Diagnosis 2011

# Heart







### Early detection of CHD (106/488= 21%)

| Defect                    | N   | NT>P95   |  |
|---------------------------|-----|----------|--|
| Coarctation (CoA)         | 15  | 2 (50%)  |  |
| Tetralogy of Fallot (TOF) | 10  | 2 (67%)  |  |
| Hypoplastic left heart    | 10  | 2 (40%)  |  |
| Atriov.sept. def. (AVSD)  | 9   | 3 (100%) |  |
| Double outlet (DORV)      | 7   | 3 (75%)  |  |
| Ebstein anomaly           | 5   |          |  |
| Transposition (TGV)       | 5   |          |  |
| Pulmonary stenosis        | 5   |          |  |
| Pulmonary atresia (PA)    | 3   | 1 (100%) |  |
| Others                    | 32  |          |  |
| Total                     | 106 | 64%      |  |

↑ NT in 35% of the major CHD

Syngelaki et al. Pren. Diagnosis 2011

### Major cardiac defects



### Major cardiac defects



Enlarged NT and abnormal DV or TR detects 65% of CHD Abnormal 4chv detects 50% of CHD Abnormal 4Chv + abn DV / TR detects 75%

### Exomphalos







#### Prevalence: 1 in 380

Bowel only CRL of 45-54.9 mm: 1 in 100 CRL of 55-64.9 mm: 1 in 800 CRL of 65-84.0 mm: 1 in 2100 Liver: 1 in 3400

# Trisomy 18 or 13 (55%) Resolution by 20 wks Bowel: 90% Liver: 0%

150 of 57,119 singleton pregnancies

Kagan et al 2010

## **Extremities**











Stephens et al 1983 Bronshtein et al 1992 Dimaio et al 1993 Macrydimas et al 1996

Limb shortening / fractures may be noted in the First Trimester

#### Skeletal Dysplasias (Majority presents with enlarged NT)

Achondrogenesis I Achondrogenesis II Ellis van Creveld Osteogenesis imperfecta II Thanatophoric dysplasia Campomelic dysplasia Congenital hypophosphatasia Spondyloepiphyseal dysplasia congenita Jeune asphyxiating thoracic dystrophy SRPS II (Majewski) SRPS III (Verma-Naumoff syndrome) SRPS IV (Beemer Langer) **Blomstrand dysplasia Roberts syndrome** Schneckenbecken dysplasia **Cleidocranial dysplasia** 





Khalil et al.2011

## Skeletal dysplasia



# **Assessment of digits**







### Hand and foot abnormalities





## Conclusions:

- 1<sup>st</sup> trimester Ultrasound is essential for:
  - Accurate pregnancy dating
  - Diagnosis of major structural anomalies
  - Screening for chromosomal anomalies

Time to reconsider the importance of the role of the 12-13 weeks scan in the NIPT era

#### Abnormalities at 13 Weeks Gestational Age Maternal Age: <25



